

**From:** Sheri Hartz  
**Sent:** Monday, June 18, 2018 9:15 AM  
**To:** Lorrayne Leong  
**Subject:** FW: Residential Parking Permits available to residents at Watergate Fwd: Some initial comments re parking

---

**From:** mary farrell [mailto:mepfarrell@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 16, 2018 1:43 AM  
**To:** m hall <mt\_mab@yahoo.com>  
**Cc:** scott donahue <scott@sdonahue.com>; Betsy Cooley <betsycooley@gmail.com>; Amber Evans <aevans@emeryville.org>; Larry Yahoo <hey\_lum@yahoo.com>; John J. Bauters <jbauters@emeryville.org>; Ally Medina <amedina@emeryville.org>; Dianne Martinez <dmartinez@emeryville.org>; Christian Robin Patz <crpatz@emeryville.org>; Sheri Hartz <shartz@emeryville.org>; Marilyn Fulrath <mfulrath@sbcglobal.net>; Kim Adolf <kadolf@watergatehoa.com>; Albert Repola <ar622@sbcglobal.net>; Finley Robbins <finley.robbs@att.net>; Gareth Fong <twosails@att.net>; Joe Lutz <jmlutz01@pacbell.net>  
**Subject:** Re: Residential Parking Permits available to residents at Watergate Fwd: Some initial comments re parking

Dear Amber, Betsy, Martha, Scott and friends,

Thank you so much for the added information and clarifications of the process.

We will come to the City Council Meeting on the 19th to reemphasize:

- 1) How important permits will be for Watergate when the plan is implemented,
- 2) Delaying implementation until the sewer retrofit is completed and,
- 3) Starting effective enforcement to begin as soon as possible.

Thank you, again!

Sincerely,

Mary Farrell

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 15, 2018, at 4:17 PM, m hall <[mt\\_mab@yahoo.com](mailto:mt_mab@yahoo.com)> wrote:

Thank you for your response, and respecting our inputs.

Martha Birch

On Jun 15, 2018, at 3:50 PM, scott donahue <[scott@sdonahue.com](mailto:scott@sdonahue.com)> wrote:

Believe me your comments are not being ignored. We have a study session this coming Tuesday where we take input including comments now. We can't comment on anything prior to a study session in a public way. That would be a Brown act violation.

Sincerely,

Scott Donahue

On 6/15/2018 3:04 PM, m hall wrote:

Thank you, Mary!

**Lots of stress** will be created for the Watergate condo residents, some of which have visitors, are getting older, have limited income, if Emeryville representatives choose to ignore our community.

Why is the Watergate Community input ignored!

Martha Birch

On Jun 15, 2018, at 2:25 PM, mary farrell  
<[mepfarrell@gmail.com](mailto:mepfarrell@gmail.com)> wrote:

Hello Everyone,

Watergate probably has one quarter or more of the current population of Emeryville. Many of those are concerned voters. The ones I have personally spoken with are disillusioned that despite our well known concerns regarding parking meters there are still no permits available for Watergate residents mentioned in the current plan!

We've attended meeting after meeting, spoken often, and given detailed information about how the parking plan will adversely affect our community.

Allowing for permits will solve the problem for us while still discouraging commuters and Towers workers from parking on Powell.

Certainly enforcement starting immediately for current parking situations will go a long way in alleviating problems.

All communities in Emeryville need consideration in mitigating current problems.

Watergate residents are frustrated that our concerns continue to be unaddressed in each iteration of the

plan. We have not heard the reason permits are still not included.

Sincerely,

Mary Farrell  
5 Admiral Drive

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 15, 2018, at 11:12 AM, mt mab  
<[mt\\_mab@yahoo.com](mailto:mt_mab@yahoo.com)> wrote:

**OUR City Representatives NEED TO  
also represent Watergate Condo  
tenants:**

**Make Residential Parking  
Permits available to residents  
at Watergate either for in-line  
purchase or at no charge.**

I live at the Watergate condo...  
I support Betsy Cooley's message,  
and

Martha Birch

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Betsy Cooley  
<[betsycooley@gmail.com](mailto:betsycooley@gmail.com)>  
**To:** Betsy Cooley  
<[betsycooley@gmail.com](mailto:betsycooley@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 12, 2018 9:08 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Some initial comments re  
parking

There will be a Study Session on  
the Parking Proposal next  
Tuesday, June 19th, at 6 p.m. at  
City Hall. Below is a link to the  
agenda and agenda packet for  
the Study Session. At the  
moment the recommendation is  
for parking meters on both sides  
of Powell Street that would  
charge \$1 an hour for 4 hours,  
then \$6 an hour for 4 hours, for a  
total of \$28/day if someone were  
to park all day. There are NO  
plans to make Residential

Parking Permits available to residents at Watergate either for purchase or at no charge. Staff is currently proposed some alternatives to the City Council which would be either making Residential Permits available to residents at whatever charge they determine for the City's residents overall and would maintain the parking meters on both sides of Powell Street, but would allow residents with the RPP to park there without paying the parking meter fee. Another possibility would be having parking meters on the South side of Powell Street, and the North side of Powell Street would allow Residential Parking Permits. This might be 2-hour parking, with residents with a RPP able to park for longer than the designated time period without a getting a ticket and the parking would be most likely available to anyone who wants to park there, but they would have the 2-hour restriction. If you have any interest in what happens on Powell Street and how the parking plan is implemented, please attend the meeting on June 19th and let your wishes be known. Mayor Bauters was at the Ashby Village Coffee this morning and he emphasized how important it is for residents' voices to be heard and it always has more impact if you are actually present at the meeting. I sent some initial comments which are below. Thanks, Betsy

[http://emeryville.legistar1.com/emeryville/meetings/2018/6/1606\\_A\\_City\\_Council\\_18-06-19\\_Agenda.pdf](http://emeryville.legistar1.com/emeryville/meetings/2018/6/1606_A_City_Council_18-06-19_Agenda.pdf)

Begin forwarded  
message:

**From:** Betsy  
Cooley  
<[betsycooley@gmail.com](mailto:betsycooley@gmail.com)>

**Subject: Some  
initial comments  
re parking**

**Date:** June 12,  
2018 at 8:24:43 PM  
PDT

**To:** John Bauters  
<[jbauters@emeryville.org](mailto:jbauters@emeryville.org)>, Ally Medina  
<[amedina@emeryville.org](mailto:amedina@emeryville.org)>, Dianne  
Martinez  
<[dmartinez@emeryville.org](mailto:dmartinez@emeryville.org)>, scott  
donahue  
<[scott@sdonahue.com](mailto:scott@sdonahue.com)>, Christian Patz  
<[cpatz@emeryville.org](mailto:cpatz@emeryville.org)>, Sheri Hartz  
<[shartz@emeryville.org](mailto:shartz@emeryville.org)>

Mayor Bauters and  
members of the City  
Council,

This email is a  
preliminary list of  
some comments I  
have regarding the  
parking proposal  
that will be  
discussed at the  
upcoming Study  
Session for the  
parking proposal  
implementation.

Initially, I want to  
point out that San

Francisco Parking Policies do reference parking meters for "Major Transportation Corridors." I lived and worked there for many years and having the Emery Go-Round on Powell Street every 20 or 25 minutes is not in any relevant way comparable to the Major Transportation Corridors you might find on Market Street or Van Ness Avenue in San Francisco where multiple forms of buses and street cars run nonstop. I also don't think that calling Powell Street in Emeryville a Transit Corridor can legitimately be used to justify expensive parking meters on both sides of the Street and effectively limiting residential access to parking on that street.

These comments do not incorporate some of my fundamental objections to the plan as it impacts Watergate, but does pull out some items in the agenda packet that I'd like to call to your attention.

1. On p. 13 of the Staff Report it suggests "During upcoming sewer construction Watergate could consider a private transaction with The Towers to use their excess off-street parking." When we lost the EGR service several years ago, I measured the distance from my lobby to the parking area at The Towers and it is 1/2 a mile. It is not at all practical to expect residents who live on Admiral or Anchor to park 1/2 a mile away.

2. On p. 14 of the Staff Report under factors to be considered if Residential Parking Permits were to be considered on Powell Street West of 80, it states: It would redirect employees into paid lots at The Towers, Casual Carpool parkers would be displaced. Why is that a concern? Wouldn't that be a positive impact of RPP? Any place I've ever worked, we had to either take public transit or pay for parking. As of today on their

websites, the parking all day at The Towers is a maximum of \$15/day and monthly parking is \$105/month, or at 23 days business days a month, it would be \$4.57/day. The parking at 2100 Powell Street is currently \$90/month, which works out to \$3.91/day. These market rate parking prices at the highrise office buildings make the \$28/day meters seem somewhat out of sync with reality.

3. In the Draft Parking Plan on p. 20, it states: "Many residential areas in the City experience high parking demand throughout the day, and many residents have trouble parking their cars near their homes. In these areas, the City wishes to prioritize residents, **REDUCE SPILLOVER PARKING FROM COMMERCIAL AREAS**, and discourage all-day parking for those who neither live nor work in Emeryville but take advantage of free parking and transit



accessibility." [Emp  
hasis added.]

4. On p. 14 of the Staff Report under Powell Street West of I-80, it states: "RPP is relatively expensive to enforce and generates insufficient offsetting revenue. In contrast, mid-term meters charge a moderate sum for visitors up to 4 hours but eliminates free access and **LIKELY REDUCES RESIDENTIAL SPILLOVER INTO THE STREET**, casual carpool and employee parking in the public right of way, thereby emphasizing these spaces availability for public users of the adjacent recreational space. SOOOO, apparently in some residential areas the City is concerned about the residents and in other areas, it appears the nearby recreational space (which has significant parking available) seems to be the primary concern if the Staff Report accurately reflects the interests of the City.

5. On p. 9 of the Public Comment Summary and Posters Community Workshop, The Peninsula Recommends Mid-term metered parking along Powell Street Serves visitors of Marina Park and Bay Trail Discourages long-term Trans-Bay bus riders (where is the Trans-Bay bus that would serve these riders - certainly not The Peninsula), carpoolers and office workers.

This doesn't even reference the approximately 2,400 residents who reside alongside Powell Street and have had access to the parking, along with everyone else, for the past 45 years. It's all about the Bay Trail and the park which is approximately 1/2 a mile away.

Metered Parking

Goals: Customers

Employees

Transit, biking, walking

Financial sustainability for parking program

Now it is all about making money since there really are minimal if any

customers to be served on this stretch. The Market has a parking lot and Trader Vic's has parking and they mostly use it on weekends or nights.

On p. 10,  
Residential Parking Permits

Goals: Improve residents ability to find parking near home.

Protect residents from spillovers from meters.

Reduce commuter parking in residential area.  
Once again, we face the conundrum of protecting some residents from spillovers from meters and some streets from spillovers from residents.

The words to express how I feel about this escape me at the moment. I will have comments either before the Study Session or at the meeting to express my more fundamental concerns about fairness and how resident groups different areas of the city are being treated very

differently from  
other residential  
areas and also the  
fact that the  
\$28/day parking  
meters are a form  
of a Regressive Tax  
which falls  
disproportionately  
on those with lower  
incomes or on fixed  
incomes.

Thanks for your  
consideration of my  
comments.

Betsy Cooley  
30-year resident of  
Watergate in  
Emeryville