## **RESOLUTION NO. 22-**

Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Emeryville Making Required Findings To Allow The Continuation Of Remotely Held Meetings Of The City Council, Pursuant To AB 361

**WHEREAS**, on March 4, 2020, the Governor of the State of California ("Governor") issued a Proclamation of a State of Emergency due to COVID-19, which proclamation remains and is in effect as of the date of this Resolution, as are the facts, circumstances, and emergency under which it was issued; and

**WHEREAS**, on March 17, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order N-29-20 allowing local legislative bodies to hold meetings via teleconference and to make meetings accessible electronically without it constituting a violation of the open meeting laws found in the Ralph M. Brown Act (California Government Code Sections 54950 et seq., "Brown Act"); and

**WHEREAS**, there has been an increase in public participation at public meetings that are held via teleconference during the course of the pandemic; and

**WHEREAS**, on March 19, 2020, pursuant to Resolution No. 20-23, the City Council of the City of Emeryville ("City Council") ratified the City of Emeryville's Director of Emergency Services Proclamation of Local Emergency dated March 17, 2020; and

**WHEREAS**, the Health Officer of the County of Alameda ("Health Officer") has issued various health orders and updates designed to slow the spread of COVID-19 (including variants thereof) such as vaccinations, quarantines, face covering requirements, and social distancing recommendations designed to protect public health; and

**WHEREAS**, as of August 3, 2021, the Health Officer continued to state that indoor settings, whether public or private, are a higher risk for COVID-19 transmission and, that while vaccination protects against severe COVID-19 illness, the COVID-19 Delta variant was beginning to infect a small percentage of vaccinated people as well as many unvaccinated people; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 11, 2021, the Governor issued Executive Order N-08-21, which placed an end date of September 30, 2021, on the so-called Brown Act Orders that allowed exemptions to public meeting laws; and

**WHEREAS**, since the issuance of Executive Order N-08-21, the Delta and Omicron variant and subvariants have proliferated, causing continued COVID-19 cases throughout the state; and

**WHEREAS**, the Center for Disease Control has found that after getting vaccinated against COVID-19, protection against the virus may decrease over time and be less able to protect against variants and subvariants, and recently recommended that individuals 50 years and older receive second booster shots; and

Resolution No. 22-Making Findings for Extension of Remote Meetings Pursuant to AB 361 City Council Meeting | November 15, 2022 Page 2 of 3

**WHEREAS**, on June 24, 2022, Alameda County Public Health ("ACPH") lifted the requirement for the public to wear masks in most indoor public settings, but stated that, while cases appear to have peaked, COVID-19 continues to circulate in our communities and residents should anticipate substantial ongoing impacts from COVID-19; and

**WHEREAS**, the pandemic is not over and that the virus that causes COVID-19 continues to disrupt people's lives and disproportionately impact communities of color, older adults, and those with underlying health conditions; and

**WHEREAS**, since January 1st of this year, COVID-19 has caused the death of 328 Alameda County residents, and wearing masks, keeping events small and outdoors, and getting vaccines and boosters to lower the risk of contracting COVID-19 are still recommended; and

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Executive Orders N-29-20 and N-08-21, the City Council has been holding remote meetings using teleconferencing and virtual meeting technology in an effort to help protect the public, members of the City Council and staff attending such meetings ("attendees") from COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, due to the continued occurrence of COVID-19 cases, including those attributed to the Delta and Omicron variants and subvariants, the City Council continues to be deeply concerned about protecting the health and safety of meeting attendees, particularly given that even fully vaccinated people have contracted COVID-19, people may have and transmit the virus before knowing they are infected and/or if they are asymptomatic; meetings of the City Council can exceed fifteen minutes, and its meeting facilities are limited in space with seats close together, and facilities have restricted air flow; and

WHEREAS, the California state legislature adopted AB 361 as an urgency measure that was signed by the Governor on September 16, 2021, to be effective immediately, and which amends the Brown Act to allow local legislative bodies to continue meeting remotely using teleconferencing and virtual meeting technology as long as there is a gubernatorial "proclaimed state of emergency" upon the local legislative body finding that state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing or that meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council desires to continue holding public meetings of the City Council remotely using teleconferencing and virtual meeting technology in order to avoid the imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees; and

**WHEREAS**, the City Council held a duly noticed public meeting on November 15, 2022; and

Resolution No. 22-Making Findings for Extension of Remote Meetings Pursuant to AB 361 City Council Meeting | November 15, 2022 Page 3 of 3

**WHEREAS**, at such public meeting, the City Council considered all pertinent information, exhibits, testimony, and comments received during the public review process, including, without limitation, information received at the public meeting, the written report from staff dated November 15, 2022, this Resolution, and all other information on which the City Council has based its decision; now, therefore, be it

**RESOLVED** that the City Council hereby finds the following:

- a. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
- b. A state of emergency remains active.
- c. State and local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing.
- c. The City Council has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency.
- d. The following circumstances exist:
  - (i) The state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of meeting attendees to meet safely in person.
  - (ii) State or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing; and, be it further

**RESOLVED**, that as of the effective date of AB 361, meetings of the City Council will continue to be conducted remotely using teleconferencing and virtual meeting technology for the next 30 days in compliance with AB 361 and the City Council will revisit the need to so conduct its meetings within 30 days of the adoption of this Resolution.

**ADOPTED**, by the City Council of the City of Emeryville at a regular meeting held Tuesday, November 15, 2022, by the following vote:

AYES:	
NOES:	
ABSTAIN:	
ABSENT:	
	MAYOR
ATTEST:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
	DocuSigned by:
	Jahn Kennedy
CITY CLERK	CITY ATTORNEY